

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003

ÁPPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTODNEY DOOKET NO	CONFIDATATIONING
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,534	12/14/1999	MATTHIAS LAU	1-14746	6863
7590 05/07/2003 MARSHALL & MELHORN PHILLIP S OBERLIN FOUR SEAGATE 8TH FLOOR			EXAMINER LUU, THANH X	
TOLEDO, OH 43604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· -		1 -		M			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	pplicant(s)				
		09/423,534	LAU, MATTHIAS				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thanh X Luu	2878				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, n y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely on MONTHS from the mailing date of this co one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	A <i>pril 2003</i> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	ion of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 23-44 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>23-44</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requiremen	t.				
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 December 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) $oxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>03 April 2003</u> is: a) $oxtimes$ approved b) $oxtimes$ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document						
* (3. ∑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:				
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendments and remarks filed April 3, 2003. Claims 23-44 are currently pending.

Drawings

- 1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on April 3, 2003 have been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to because "Figure" is misspelled in most of the drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "38" in Figure 4. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,534 Page 3

Art Unit: 2878

5. Claims 23-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 23, since Applicant uses the terms "the at least one first optical conductor", "the at least one second optical conductor" and "the optical conductors" it is unclear in its given context which optical conductors are being referred to when the terms "the optical conductors" is being used; first optical conductors, second optical conductors, or first and second optical conductors.

Regarding claim 28, "the at least one second optical conductor for conducting exciting light, reference light or further fluorescent light" lacks proper antecedent basis. Further, it is unclear how a second optical conductor conducts exciting light, when the second optical conductor is claimed (in claim 23) to direct <u>fluorescent</u> light to a detector. In addition, "further fluorescent light" lacks proper antecedent basis and it is unclear what a "reference light" is referring to. It is also unclear in its given context how a plurality of second optical conductors are "arranged in an alternating fashion in an outer ring."

Claims 24-27 and 29-44 are indefinite by virtue of their dependency on an indefinite claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/423,534

Art Unit: 2878

· invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 23-28, 31-33 and 43, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saaski et al. (U.S. Patent 5,606,170).

Regarding claims 23, 26-28, 31-33 and 43, Saaski et al. disclose (see Figures 1-16) a device for measuring fluorescence excited by light and configured to detect fluorescence quenching fluid materials (see column 31, lines 35-45), which has at least one layer (not shown; see column 6, lines 5-12) applied to a support (cladding of fiber) or a transparent body, the layer contains a fluorescing material, the device having at least one light source (12 or 28) which emits exciting light of at least one wavelength that excites the fluorescence in the layer, the exciting light is directed through the support onto the layer by at least one first optical conductor (40), the fluorescent light being directed by at least one second optical conductor (46) onto at least one detector (26) for determining the intensity of the fluorescence, wherein the end faces of the first and second optical conductors are arranged relative to one another as a function of their numerical apertures (see column 17, lines 53-65) and as a function of the at least one layer (the end faces are located at a certain position with respect to the at least one layer) and the second optical conductors are arranged as a bundle in a the shape of a ring (see Figures 9-11) with at least one first optical conductor arranged in the interior of the ring, the first and second optical conductors are used for exciting light or for fluorescent light. Saaski et al. further disclose (see Figure 2) a launching optical system (32, 36, 38) is arranged between the light source (28) and the at least one first optical conductor. In addition, Saaski et al disclose (see column 31, lines 50-66) the surface of

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/423,534

Art Unit: 2878

the support (cladding of 144a) is surrounded by a medium of lower refractive index (152a) and is mounted in an exchangeable fashion (see Figure 4A), wherein the exciting light is totally reflected in a region of the layer and total reflection occurs. The support is elongated in a plane. Saaski et al. also teach (see Figure 1) separate housings for holding the optical conductors, the light source and the detector. Saaski et al. do not specifically disclose the light source, the detector and the optical conductors held in a measuring head. However, it has been held that making a device integral requires only routine skill in the art. *In re Larson* 144 USPQ 347, 349. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dispose the elements of Saaski et al. in a measuring head in order to make it integral and provide a compact and portable device.

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Saaski et al. disclose the invention as set forth above. Saaski et al. do not specifically disclose the structure of the measuring head. However, the specific structure of a measuring head or housing is a matter of design choice. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the measuring head or housing of the device of Saaski et al. flexible or partially bent in order to more easily maneuver the device upon use or to make the device fit more easily into certain spaces.

8. Claim 29, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saaski et al. in view of Pederson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,319,975).

Regarding claim 29, Saaski et al. disclose the invention as set forth above.

Saaski et al. do not specifically disclose the optical conductors are inclined at different

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/423,534

Art Unit: 2878

angles with the end faces pointing toward the fluorescing layer. Pederson et al. teach (see Figure 1) inclining optical conductors wherein the end faces point towards a fluorescing layer (8). Thus, Pederson et al. recognize an alternative arrangement for such a device. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the apparatus of Saaski et al. in view of Pederson et al. as claimed to provide more direct excitation and improve detection.

9. Claim 41, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saaski et al. in view of Hesse (DD 106 086).

Regarding claim 41, Saaski et al. disclose the invention as set forth above.

Saaski et al. do not specifically disclose a second detector for detecting a reference signal. Hesse teaches (see Figures) monitoring a reference signal though a second detector. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second detector to detect a reference signal the apparatus of Saaski et al. in view of Hesse to aid in monitoring the correct operation of the device and improve detection.

10. Claims 30, 38, 42 and 44, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saaski et al. as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Wagner (U.S. Patent 5,001,054) and Bessman et al. (U.S. Patent 4,431,004).

Regarding claims 30, 38 and 44, Saaski et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Saaski et al. do not disclose a heater or a temperature sensor as claimed. Wagner teaches (see Figure 2) using a device having conductors and a fluorescing layer for monitoring glucose. Bessman et al. further teach (see column 2,

Application/Control Number: 09/423,534 Page 7

Art Unit: 2878

lines 37-45) that glucose sensors are temperature dependent and (see Figure 4) disposing a temperature sensor proximate a glucose sensor. Thus, Wagner recognizes that the device of Saaski et al. detects glucose and Bessman et al. recognize the sensitivity of glucose sensors to temperature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a heater and/or a temperature sensor on the support of Saaski et al. in view of Wagner and Bessman et al. to obtain more accurate detection.

Regarding claim 42, Saaski et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Saaski et al. do not disclose insulating the light source and detector. However, as stated above, Bessman et al. teach that monitoring glucose is dependent on temperature. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insulate the light source and detector in the apparatus of Saaski et al. in view of Wagner and Bessman et al. to reduce the affect of the heat from the light source and detector from affecting the detection, and thereby improve detection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 34-37, 39 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: a device for measuring fluorescence as claimed, more specifically in combination with: dividing the support longitudinally into a plurality of regions; providing

Art Unit: 2878

the support with an angular surface; providing a u-shaped support or providing a transparent body with scattering material is not disclosed or made obvious by the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34-37, 39 and 40 have been considered but are most since the claims are now indicated as being allowable.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-33, 38 and 41-44 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 23-33, 38 and 41-44, Applicant asserts that the exciting light "is directed directly into or in the direction of a fluorescing layer." However such language is not found in the claim. Regardless, the exciting light of Saaski is directed in a direction of the fluorescing layer. Thus, as set forth above the invention remains obvious over Saaski and this rejection is proper.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta, can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Application/Control Number: 09/423,534

Art Unit: 2878

Page 9

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

txl May 1, 2003

Que T. Le Primary Examiner